

**REMARKS**

Claims 1, 2, 15, 22-24, 26, 27 and 30-31 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 8, 9, 16, 17, 25, 28, 29 and 32-33 are canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter recited therein. No new matter is added by this amendment. Reconsideration of the application in view of the above amendment and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Entry of the amendments is proper under 37 CFR §1.116 because the amendments: (a) place the application in condition for allowance; (b) do not raise any new issue requiring further search and/or consideration; (c) do not present any additional claims; and (d) place the application in better form for appeal, should an appeal be necessary. Entry of the amendments is thus respectfully requested.

Applicant appreciates the allowance of claims 1, 2, 22-24, 26, 27 and 30.

The Office Action objects to claim 16 for informalities. The rejection is moot because claim 16 has been canceled.

The Office Action rejects claims 8, 9 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,362,894 to Shima (hereinafter "Shima-894") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,778,289 to Iwata and further in view of U.S. Application Publication No. 2003/0231327 to Ashe et al. (hereinafter "Ashe"). With regard to claims 8 and 9, the rejection is moot because claims 8 and 9 have been canceled. With regard to claim 15, the rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 15 calls for a printer with an extracting system that extracts the list of location data of print data to be printed by a printer from the list of location data received by the location data receiving system.

The Office Action, on pages 9 and 11, states that Shima-894 discloses the extracting system, and Iwata discloses the list of location data of print data. Applicant respectfully disagrees. Shima-894's network printer 11 receives desired information resources (HTML

source code). The source code is analyzed and formatted by the network printer 11, print image data is generated and printing is executed. (*see* Shima-894's Fig. 5 and col. 6, lines 41-62). However, the information resources received by the network printer 11 are not a list of location data, and nothing is extracted from the information resources to create a list of location data of print data. Therefore, there is no step in Shima-894 where a printer side extracting system extracts a list of location data of print data from a list of location data.

Iwata discloses that the printer E101 is capable of requesting a list of reference information of documents available in a desired server E103. However, Iwata also fails to disclose or suggest that the printer E101 further extracts a list of location data of print data from the list of reference information. Thus, Iwata fails to overcome the deficiencies of Shima-894.

Claim 15 also calls for a printer with a displaying system that displays the list of location data of only the print data extracted by said extracting system.

The Office Action, on page 11, states that Ashley discloses the above-mentioned features. Ashley discloses customizing a printer control panel with the use of definitions. However, as discussed above, Shima-894 does not disclose or suggest the feature of extracting only a portion of the HTML code, which may be received or displayed as a list. Ashley fails to overcome the deficiencies of Shima-894 because it does not disclose how to extract a list of location data of print data from a list of location data. Therefore, Ashley is unable to eliminate unwanted location data (i.e., unprintable location data) from a display list of location data to prevent a user from selecting unprintable elements. Thus, Ashley does not disclose or suggest how to display a list of location data of only the print data, as called for in claim 15.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

The Office Action rejects claims 16 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Shima-894 in view of Iwata. The rejection is moot because claims 16 and 17 have been canceled.

The Office Action rejects claims 25, 28, 29 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,867,874 (hereinafter "Shima-874") in view of Iwata and further in view Ashey. With regard to claims 25, 28 and 29, the rejection is moot because claims 25, 28 and 29 have been canceled. With regard to claim 31, the rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 31 calls for a recording medium with instructions for extracting the list of location data of print data to be printed by a printer from the list of location data received.

The Office Action, on pages 29 and 30, states that Shima-874 discloses extracting location data of print data, and Iwata discloses the list of location data of print data. Applicant respectfully disagrees. Shima-874 discloses that printer 1 extracts the URL of structural data (inline data) of image data from searched resource text received at the printer. (*see* Shima-874's col. 3, lines 11-19). However, the searched resource text received at the printer is not a list of location data, and the extracted URL for the structural data is not a list of location data of print data. Therefore, there is no step in Shima-874 where a printer side extracting system extracts a list of location data of print data from a list of location data

As discussed above with regard to claim 15, Iwata also fails to disclose or suggest that the printer E101 further extracts a list of location data of print data from the list of reference information. Thus, Iwata fails to overcome the deficiencies of Shima-874.

Claim 31 also calls for a recording medium with instructions for displaying the list of location data of only the print data extracted.

As discussed above with regard to claim 15, Ashey discloses customizing a printer control panel with the use of definitions. However, Ashey fails to overcome the deficiencies of Shima-874 and Iwata because it does not disclose how to extract a list of location data of only print data for display.


Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

The Office Action rejects claims 32 and 33 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Shima-874 in view of Iwata. The rejection is moot because claims 32 and 33 have been canceled.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the claims are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Rodney H. Rothwell, Jr.  
Registration No. 60,728

JAO:RHR/khm

Date: June 18, 2008

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p><b>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION</b> Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------